

*Europ. J. Combinatorics* (2000) **21**, 839–845

Article No. 10.1006/eujc.2000.0400

Available online at <http://www.idealibrary.com> on IDEAL**Every  $H$ -decomposition of  $K_n$  has a Nearly Resolvable Alternative**

NOGA ALON AND RAPHAEL YUSTER

Let  $H$  be a fixed graph. An  $H$ -decomposition of  $K_n$  is a coloring of the edges of  $K_n$  such that every color class forms a copy of  $H$ . Each copy is called a *member* of the decomposition. The *resolution number* of an  $H$ -decomposition  $L$  of  $K_n$ , denoted  $\chi(L)$ , is the minimum number  $t$  such that the color classes (i.e., the members) of  $L$  can be partitioned into  $t$  subsets  $L_1, \dots, L_t$ , where any two members belonging to the same subset are vertex-disjoint. A trivial lower bound is  $\chi(L) \geq \frac{n-1}{\bar{d}}$  where  $\bar{d}$  is the average degree of  $H$ . We prove that whenever  $K_n$  has an  $H$ -decomposition, it also has a decomposition  $L$  satisfying  $\chi(L) = \frac{n-1}{\bar{d}}(1 + o_n(1))$ .

© 2000 Academic Press

**1. INTRODUCTION**

All graphs and hypergraphs considered here are finite, undirected, simple, and have no isolated vertices. For standard graph-theoretic terminology the reader is referred to [2]. Let  $H$  and  $G$  be two graphs. An  $H$ -decomposition of  $G$  is a coloring of the edges of  $G$ , where each color class forms a copy of  $H$ . Each copy is called a *member* of the decomposition. An  $H$ -decomposition of  $K_n$  is called an  $H$ -design of  $n$  elements.  $H$ -designs are central objects in the area of Design Theory (cf. [3] for numerous results and references).

For a graph  $H$ , let  $v(H)$ ,  $e(H)$  and  $\gcd(H)$  denote, respectively, the number of vertices, the number of edges and the greatest common divisor of the degree sequence of  $H$ . If there is an  $H$ -decomposition of  $K_n$ , then, trivially,  $e(H)$  divides  $\binom{n}{2}$  and  $\gcd(H)$  divides  $n - 1$ . In fact, Wilson has proved in a seminal result appearing in [9], that for every fixed graph  $H$ , if  $n$  is sufficiently large then these necessary conditions are also sufficient for the existence of an  $H$ -decomposition of  $K_n$ . For the rest of this paper we shall assume that these two necessary divisibility conditions hold.

Let  $L$  be an  $H$ -decomposition of  $K_n$ . The *resolution number* of  $L$ , denoted  $\chi(L)$ , is the minimum number  $t$  such that the members of  $L$  can be partitioned into  $t$  subsets  $L_1, \dots, L_t$ , where any two members of  $L$  belonging to the same subset are vertex-disjoint. The use of  $\chi$  follows from the obvious fact that  $\chi(L)$  is the chromatic number of the intersection graph of the members of  $L$ . The *resolution number* of  $H$ , denoted  $\chi(H, n)$ , is the minimum possible value of  $\chi(L)$ , ranging over all  $H$ -decompositions of  $K_n$ . Trivially,  $\chi(H, n) \geq \frac{(n-1)v(H)}{2e(H)}$  since in any decomposition, the average number of members containing a vertex of  $K_n$  is precisely  $\frac{(n-1)v(H)}{2e(H)}$ . We say that  $K_n$  has a *resolvable  $H$ -decomposition* (also known as a *resolvable  $H$ -design*) if  $\chi(H, n) = \frac{(n-1)v(H)}{2e(H)}$ . There may be many distinct  $H$ -decompositions of  $K_n$ , and these decompositions may vary significantly in their properties. Some may be far from being resolvable. However, it has been proved by Ray-Chaudhuri and Wilson [7] that if  $H = K_k$ ,  $n$  is a sufficiently large integer divisible by  $k$ , and  $n - 1$  is divisible by  $k - 1$ , then there exists a resolvable  $K_k$ -decomposition of  $K_n$ . Namely, the  $\binom{n}{2}/\binom{k}{2}$  members of the decomposition can be partitioned into  $(n - 1)/(k - 1)$  subsets, where each subset consists of  $n/k$  vertex-disjoint copies of  $K_k$  (such a subset is called a  $K_k$ -factor of  $K_n$ ). On the other hand, there are also non-resolvable  $K_k$ -decompositions of  $K_n$ . Some explicit constructions can be found in [3]. If  $H$  is an arbitrary graph, there is no analog to the Ray-Chaudhuri-Wilson

theorem (namely, that the existence of the necessary conditions guarantees a resolvable  $H$ -decomposition of  $K_n$  for  $n$  sufficiently large). In fact, it is not difficult to show that for some graphs  $H$  no resolvable  $H$ -decomposition exists. Examples, which are easily verified, are  $H = K_{1,t}$  where  $t \geq 3$  is odd.

Our main result is that the obvious lower bound for  $\chi(H, n)$  is asymptotically tight for every  $H$ .

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $H$  be a fixed graph with  $h$  vertices and  $m$  edges. Then,*

$$\chi(H, n) = (n - 1) \frac{h}{2m} (1 + o_n(1)).$$

As mentioned above, we cannot omit the error term completely, for general graphs  $H$ . The  $o_n(1)$  error term in our proof of Theorem 1.1 is, in fact, a power  $n^\beta$  of  $n$ , where  $\beta = \beta(H)$  is strictly less than 0.

Although in every  $H$ -decomposition there are at least  $(n - 1) \frac{h}{2m}$  members sharing a common vertex, we are able to prove that, for infinitely many  $n$ , there are  $H$ -decompositions of  $K_n$  in which every vertex appears in exactly  $(n - 1) \frac{h}{2m}$  members (note that this claim is interesting only if  $H$  is non-regular). Furthermore, for any two vertices of  $K_n$ , the number of members containing both of them is bounded. This is an easy corollary of the following theorem:

**THEOREM 1.2.** *There exists a universal constant  $C$  such that if  $H$  is a fixed graph with  $h$  vertices and  $m$  edges and  $x' \geq C \cdot \min\{m^{4/3}, h^2\}$  then there exists a nonempty regular graph  $G$  on  $x'$  vertices, which has an  $H$ -decomposition  $L$  with the property that every vertex of  $G$  appears in the same number of members of  $L$ .*

By applying Wilson's Theorem to  $G$  we get a  $G$ -decomposition of  $K_n$ . We now  $H$ -decompose each  $G$  so that the properties of Theorem 1.2 hold. This results in an  $H$ -decomposition of  $K_n$  in which every vertex appears in exactly  $(n - 1) \frac{h}{2m}$  members. By applying Wilson's theorem to a complete graph  $K_k$  which, in turn, is  $G$ -decomposable, we get a  $K_k$ -decomposition of  $K_n$ . We now  $G$ -decompose each  $K_k$ , and  $H$ -decompose each resulting  $G$ , and obtain an  $H$ -decomposition of  $K_n$  which also has the additional property that any two vertices of  $K_n$  appear together in  $O(k)$  members.

## 2. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULT

The proof of Theorem 1.1 requires a few lemmas. We first show that if  $K_n$  is  $H$ -decomposable, then it can also be decomposed into  $H$ -decomposable cliques whose sizes are bounded. Let  $F$  be a (possibly infinite) family of integers. Let  $\gcd(F)$  denote the largest positive integer which divides each number in  $F$ . Let  $F_1 = \{n - 1 \mid n \in F\}$  and let  $F_2 = \{n(n - 1)/2 \mid n \in F\}$ . A *pairwise balanced design* is a partition of the complete graph into cliques (also called blocks). In [10] Wilson has proved the following:

**LEMMA 2.1 (WILSON [10]).** *Let  $F$  be a finite family of positive integers. Then, there exists  $n_0 = n_0(F)$  such that if  $n > n_0$ ,  $\gcd(F_1)$  divides  $n - 1$  and  $\gcd(F_2)$  divides  $\binom{n}{2}$  then there exists a pairwise balanced design of  $K_n$ , such that the size of each block belongs to  $F$ .*

Let  $H$  be a graph, and let  $T = \{n \mid K_n \text{ is } H\text{-decomposable}\}$ .  $T$  is infinite but, obviously,  $\gcd(T_1)$  and  $\gcd(T_2)$  are finite. Thus, there are finite subsets  $T^\alpha \subset T$  and  $T^\beta \subset T$  such that  $\gcd(T_1^\alpha) = \gcd(T_1)$  and  $\gcd(T_2^\beta) = \gcd(T_2)$ . Putting  $F = T^\alpha \cup T^\beta$  yields a finite set of positive integers such that if  $k \in F$  then  $K_k$  is  $H$ -decomposable, and if  $K_n$  is  $H$ -decomposable then  $\gcd(F_1)$  divides  $n - 1$  and  $\gcd(F_2)$  divides  $\binom{n}{2}$ . Applying Lemma 2.1 to this  $F$  we get:

COROLLARY 2.2. *For every graph  $H$  there is a finite set of positive integers  $F = F(H)$  and a positive integer  $N_1 = N_1(H)$ , such that if  $n > N_1$  and  $K_n$  is  $H$ -decomposable, then  $K_n$  is also decomposable into  $H$ -decomposable cliques whose sizes belong to  $F$ .*

Recall that an  $h$ -uniform hypergraph is a collection of  $h$ -sets (the edges) of some  $n$ -set (the vertices). The degree  $\deg(x)$  of a vertex  $x$  in a hypergraph is the number of edges containing  $x$ . A *matching* in a hypergraph is a set of pairwise disjoint edges. The *chromatic index* of a hypergraph  $S$ , denoted  $q(S)$ , is the smallest integer  $q$  such that the set of edges of  $S$  can be partitioned into  $q$  matchings. A powerful theorem of Pippenger and Spencer [6] gives an asymptotically sharp estimate of  $q(S)$  when  $S$  is a uniform hypergraph in which any two vertices appear together in a small number of edges. Better estimates for the error term have been proved subsequently in [4], [5]. Here we state the theorem in a slightly weaker form which suffices for our purposes.

LEMMA 2.3 ([4], [5], [6]). *Let  $h$  and  $C$  be positive integers and let  $\alpha < 1$  and  $\epsilon < 1$  be positive real numbers. There exist  $N_0 = N_0(h, C, \alpha, \epsilon)$  and  $0 < \beta = \beta(h, C, \alpha, \epsilon) < 1$  such that the following holds: If  $S$  is an  $h$ -uniform hypergraph with  $n > N_0$  vertices and:*

1. *There exists  $d > \epsilon n$  such that for every  $x \in S$ ,  $|\deg(x) - d| < d^\alpha$ .*
2. *Any two vertices appear together in at most  $C$  edges.*

*Then,  $q(S) \leq d + d^\beta$ .*

Let  $H$  have  $h$  vertices and  $m$  edges. Every  $H$ -decomposition of  $K_n$  defines an  $n$ -vertex  $h$ -uniform hypergraph whose edges correspond to the vertices of each member of the decomposition. Clearly, the chromatic index of this hypergraph is exactly the resolution number of the decomposition. It is our goal to show that there always exists an  $H$ -decomposition of  $K_n$  whose corresponding hypergraph satisfies the conditions of Lemma 2.3 with  $\alpha = 0.6$ ,  $C = C(H)$ ,  $\epsilon = \epsilon(H)$  and  $d = (n - 1)\frac{h}{2m}$ . For this purpose, we need the following simple lemma.

LEMMA 2.4. *For every  $a > 0$  there exists a  $T = T(a)$  such that if  $t > T$  and  $X_1, \dots, X_t$  are  $t$  mutually independent discrete random variables taking values between 0 and  $a$ , and  $\mu$  is the expectation of  $X = X_1 + \dots + X_t$  then*

$$\Pr[|X - \mu| > t^{0.51}] < \frac{1}{t^2}.$$

PROOF. Several (related) proofs relying on some standard known bounds for large deviations can be given. Here we describe one that follows from Theorem 4.2 on page 90 of [1]. Let  $A$  be the set of reals, and put  $B = \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$ . Let  $g : B \mapsto A$  be a random function obtained by defining  $g(i) = X_i/a$  for each  $i \in B$ . Define  $B_i = \{1, 2, \dots, i\}$  and put  $L(g) = \sum_{i \in B} g(i)$  ( $= X/a$ ). Notice that if  $g$  and  $g'$  differ only on  $B_{i+1} - B_i$ , then  $|L(g) - L(g')| \leq 1$ . Therefore, by Theorem 4.2 on page 90 of [1], for every  $\lambda > 0$ ,

$$\Pr[|L(g) - E(L(g))| \geq \lambda\sqrt{t}] < 2e^{-\lambda^2/2}.$$

Since here  $L(g) = X/a$  and  $E(L(g)) = \mu/a$ , the desired result follows by taking  $\lambda = t^{0.01}/a$ , and a sufficiently large  $T$ .  $\square$

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1. Fix  $F$  and  $N_1$  as in Corollary 2.2. Now, define  $C = \lfloor \frac{k-1}{\delta(H)} \rfloor$  where  $k = k(H)$  is the largest integer in  $F$ , and  $\delta(H)$  is the minimum degree of  $H$ . Define  $\epsilon$

$= \frac{h}{3m}$  and note that  $\epsilon < 1$ . Define  $\alpha = 0.6$ . Let  $\beta = \beta(h, C, \alpha, \epsilon)$  and  $N_0 = N_0(h, C, \alpha, \epsilon)$  be defined as in Lemma 2.3. For each  $f \in F$ , let  $L_f$  be a fixed  $H$ -decomposition of  $K_f$ . Picking a random vertex of  $K_f$ , let  $Y_f$  denote the random variable corresponding to the number of members of  $L_f$  containing the randomly selected vertex. Note that, trivially, each  $Y_f$  attains values between 1 and  $f - 1 \leq k - 1$ . Let  $T$  be defined as in Lemma 2.4, applied to the constant  $a = k - 1$ . Finally, define

$$N = \max \left\{ N_0, N_1, T(k - 1), \left( \frac{2m}{h} \right)^{10}, 2k^2 \right\}.$$

We show that if  $n > N$  and  $K_n$  is  $H$ -decomposable then  $\chi(H, n) \leq d + d^\beta$  where  $d = (n - 1) \frac{h}{2m}$ . This will establish Theorem 1.1. Assume, therefore, that  $K_n$  is  $H$ -decomposable. Since  $n > N_1$  we know, by Corollary 2.2, that  $K_n$  is also decomposable to  $H$ -decomposable cliques whose sizes belong to  $F$ . Let  $L^*$  be such a clique decomposition, and let  $Q \in L^*$ . Since  $Q$  is a clique isomorphic to some  $K_f$ , there are  $f!$  different ways to decompose  $Q$  to copies of  $H$  using  $L_f$ , each corresponding to a permutation of the vertices of  $Q$ . For each  $Q \in L^*$  we randomly choose such a permutation. All the  $|L^*|$  choices are independent, and each choice is done according to a uniform distribution. Combining all these  $|L^*|$  random  $H$ -decompositions, we obtain an  $H$ -decomposition  $L$  of  $K_n$ .

CLAIM 1. *With positive probability, each vertex of  $K_n$  appears in at least  $d - d^\alpha$  members of  $L$  and in at most  $d + d^\alpha$  members of  $L$ .*

PROOF. Fix a vertex  $x$  of  $K_n$ . Let  $\deg(x)$  denote the number of members of  $L$  which contain  $x$ . Let  $Q_1, \dots, Q_t$  be the cliques of  $L^*$  which contain  $x$ , and let  $f_1, \dots, f_t$  be their corresponding sizes. Clearly,  $f_1 + \dots + f_t = n - 1 + t$ . For  $i = 1, \dots, t$ , let  $X_i$  be the number of members of  $L$  which contain  $x$  and whose edges belong to  $Q_i$ . Clearly,  $\sum_{i=1}^t X_i = \deg(x)$ . Each  $X_i$  is a random variable whose expectation is exactly the average number of members of  $L_{f_i}$  which contain a vertex of  $K_{f_i}$ . Thus,  $E[X_i] = (f_i - 1) \frac{h}{2m}$ , and consequently

$$E[\deg(x)] = \sum_{i=1}^t (f_i - 1) \frac{h}{2m} = (n - 1) \frac{h}{2m} = d.$$

Furthermore, each  $X_i$  has the same distribution as the random variable  $Y_{f_i}$ , and  $X_1, \dots, X_t$  are independent. Since  $t \geq (n - 1)/(k - 1) > T$  we have by Lemma 2.4 that:

$$\Pr \left[ |\deg(x) - d| > t^{0.51} \right] < \frac{1}{t^2}.$$

Since  $n > N \geq \left( \frac{2m}{h} \right)^{10}$  we have that  $t^{0.51} < d^{0.6}$ . Also note that  $t^2 \geq (n - 1)^2 / (k - 1)^2 > n$ . Thus,

$$\Pr \left[ |\deg(x) - d| > d^{0.6} \right] < \frac{1}{n}.$$

Since there are  $n$  vertices to consider, it follows that with positive probability, for every vertex  $x$  of  $K_n$ ,  $|\deg(x) - d| \leq d^{0.6} = d^\alpha$ .  $\square$

CLAIM 2. *Any two vertices of  $K_n$  appear together in at most  $C$  members of  $L$ .*

PROOF. If a member of  $L$  contains the vertices  $x$  and  $y$ , then the member belongs to the  $H$ -decomposition of the unique clique  $X \in L^*$  which contains the edge  $(x, y)$ . Since  $X$  has at most  $k$  vertices, there are at most  $C = \lfloor (k - 1) / \delta(H) \rfloor$  such members.  $\square$

Claims 1 and 2, together with the facts that  $N > N_0$  and that  $d > \epsilon n$  show that, with positive probability, the conditions of Lemma 2.3 are satisfied for the hypergraph corresponding to the decomposition  $L$ . Hence, there exists an  $H$ -decomposition  $L$  of  $K_n$  satisfying  $\chi(L) \leq d + d^\beta$ .  $\square$

**PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2.** The vertices of  $H$  may be partitioned into two sets  $A$  and  $B$  where  $A$  consists of all vertices whose degree is at least  $m^{1/3}$ . Clearly,  $|A| \leq 2m^{2/3}$ . It is a well known theorem of Singer [8] that the abelian group  $Z_x$  has a subset  $S$  of  $\Theta(\sqrt{x})$  elements such that all possible differences (in  $Z_x$ ) between any two elements of  $S$ , are distinct. We call  $S$  a *difference set*. Now let  $x$  be the smallest integer greater than  $2m^{4/3} + h$  such that  $Z_x$  has a difference set of size  $|A|$ . Clearly,  $x = \Theta(m^{4/3})$ . We shall map the vertices of  $H$  to distinct elements of  $Z_x$ , such that if  $(a, b)$  and  $(c, d)$  are two distinct edges then  $a - b \neq c - d \pmod{x}$  and  $a - b \neq d - c \pmod{x}$ . First, we map the vertices of  $A$  to some fixed difference set of  $Z_x$  having size  $|A|$ , using an arbitrary one to one mapping. Next, we assign values to the remaining vertices of  $B$  one by one, maintaining the required property. This is possible, since at each stage, the next vertex of  $B$  to be mapped, denoted  $y$ , should be connected to a subset  $T$  of at most  $\deg(y) < m^{1/3}$  already mapped vertices. Each vertex of  $T$  introduces at most  $2z$  values to which  $y$  cannot be mapped, where  $z \leq m$  is the number of edges of  $H$  connecting two previously mapped vertices. Altogether  $y$  cannot be mapped to at most  $2z \cdot \deg(y) < 2m^{4/3} \leq x - h$  elements of  $Z_x$ . Thus, there are at least  $h$  elements of  $Z_x$  to which  $y$  can be mapped. At least one of these elements is not assigned to a previously mapped vertex, so we map  $y$  to such an element.

We now consider a graph  $G$  whose vertices are the elements of  $Z_x$ . The edges are defined as follows. For each edge  $uv$  of  $H$ , let  $a$  and  $b$  be the elements of  $Z_x$  which were assigned to  $u$  and  $v$  respectively, in the mapping defined above. For  $i = 0, \dots, x - 1$ , all the pairs  $(a + i, b + i)$  are edges of  $G$ . It follows that  $G$  is  $2m$ -regular, and has an  $H$ -decomposition into  $x$  members. In fact, every vertex of  $G$  plays the role of each vertex of  $H$  exactly once. Clearly, for  $x' > x$ , the same arguments hold.

The bound  $m^{4/3}$  in Theorem 1.2 can be replaced by the bound  $h^2$  which results by mapping the vertices of  $H$  injectively into a difference set of size at least  $h$  in  $Z_{x'}$ . Such a set exists provided  $x' \geq \Omega(h^2)$ .  $\square$

### 3. CONCLUDING REMARKS AND OPEN PROBLEMS

1. As mentioned in the introduction, we cannot avoid an error term in the statement of Theorem 1.1, since there are graphs with no resolvable decomposition. The error term in the proof of Theorem 1.1 is  $O(n^\beta)$  for some  $\beta < 1$ . It is plausible, however, that the error term is bounded by a function of  $H$ . Namely,

CONJECTURE 3.1.

$$\chi(H, n) \leq (n - 1) \frac{h}{2m} + C(H).$$

2. Theorem 1.2 and the comment following it, show that for every graph  $H$  with  $h$  vertices and  $m$  edges, there is a *regular* graph  $G$  having  $O(\text{Min}\{m^{4/3}, h^2\})$  vertices which has an  $H$ -decomposition  $L$  in which every vertex is contained in the same number of members of  $L$ . Let us call such a decomposition a *regular decomposition*. We may now define  $f(h, m)$  to be the smallest integer  $t$  such that for every graph  $H$  with  $h$  vertices and  $m$  edges, and for every  $t' \geq t$ , there are regular graphs with  $t'$  vertices which have a regular  $H$ -decomposition. Similarly, we may restrict ourselves to some specific families

of graphs, such as the family of trees, and define, for a family  $\mathcal{F}$  of graphs,  $f_{\mathcal{F}}(h, m)$  to be the smallest integer  $t$  such that for every graph  $H \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $h$  vertices and  $m$  edges, and for every  $t' \geq t$ , there are regular graphs with  $t'$  vertices which have a regular  $H$ -decomposition.

Therefore,  $f(h, m) = O(\text{Min}\{m^{4/3}, h^2\})$ . It is interesting to find more accurate upper and lower bounds for  $f(h, m)$ . It is not difficult to show that  $f(h, m) = \Theta(h^2)$  when  $m = \binom{h}{2} - 1$ . A greedy algorithm shows that  $f_{\mathcal{F}_d}(h, m) \leq (1 + 2d^2)h$  where  $\mathcal{F}_d$  is the family of  $d$ -degenerate graphs. In particular, for trees we get  $f_{\mathcal{F}_1}(h, h - 1) \leq 3h$ , while an easy lower bound, resulting from stars, is  $2h - 2$ . It may be interesting to close this gap.

3. The main result of [6] easily implies that every  $K_k$ -decomposition of  $K_n$  is nearly resolvable, that is, for each such decomposition  $L$ ,  $\chi(L) = (1 + o_n(1))\frac{n-1}{k-1}$ . This is *not* the case for other graphs  $H$ . Thus, for example, it is easy to see that for the path of length 2,  $H = K_{1,2}$ , and for every  $n$  such that 2 divides  $\binom{n}{2}$ , there is an  $H$ -decomposition  $L$  of  $K_n$  in which  $n - 1$  members of  $L$  are incident with a single vertex, implying that  $\chi(L) \geq n - 1$  ( $> \frac{3(n-1)}{4}$ ). Therefore,  $L$  is not nearly resolvable.

Our main result here shows, however, that for every fixed graph  $H$ , even though there may be some  $H$ -decompositions of  $K_n$  which are not nearly resolvable, there always exist ones that are.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors thank Y. Caro for valuable discussions. Research supported in part by a USA-Israeli BSF grant, by the Israel Science Foundation and by the Hermann Minkowski Minerva, Center for Geometry at Tel Aviv University.

#### REFERENCES

1. N. Alon and J. H. Spencer, *The Probabilistic Method*, John Wiley and Sons Inc., New York, 1991.
2. B. Bollobás, *Extremal Graph Theory*, Academic Press, 1978.
3. C. J. Colbourn and J. H. Dinitz, *CRC Handbook of Combinatorial Design*, CRC press, 1996.
4. J. Kahn, Asymptotically good list colorings, *J. Comb. Theory, Ser. A*, **73** (1996), 1–59.
5. M. Molloy and B. Reed, Asymptotically better list colorings, *J. Comb. Theory, Ser. A*, to appear.
6. N. Pippenger and J. Spencer, Asymptotic behavior of the chromatic index for hypergraphs, *J. Comb. Theory, Ser. A*, **51** (1989), 24–42.
7. D. K. Ray-Chaudhuri and R. M. Wilson, The existence of resolvable designs, in: *A Survey of Combinatorial Theory*, J. N. Srivastava, *et al.* (ed.), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1973, pp. 361–376.
8. J. Singer, A theorem in finite projective geometry and some applications to number theory, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, **43** (1938), 377–385.
9. R. M. Wilson, Decomposition of complete graphs into subgraphs isomorphic to a given graph, in: *Congressus Numerantium XV*, 1975, pp. 647–659.
10. R. M. Wilson, An existence theory for pairwise balanced designs II. The structure of PBD-closed sets and the existence conjectures, *J. Comb. Theory, Ser. A*, **13** (1972), 246–273.

Received 1 July 1999 and accepted 15 February 2000

NOGA ALON

*Department of Mathematics,  
Raymond and Beverly Sackler Faculty of Exact Sciences,  
Tel Aviv University,  
Tel Aviv,  
Israel*

*E-mail: noga@math.tau.ac.il*

AND

RAPHAEL YUSTER

*Department of Mathematics,  
University of Haifa-ORANIM,  
Tivon 36006,  
Israel*

*E-mail: raphy@math.tau.ac.il*